arXiv:1604.07227v1 [math.GR] 25 Apr 2016

Alternate modules are subsymplectic

Clément Guérin*

April 26, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, an alternate module (A, ϕ) is a finite abelian group A with a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear application $\phi : A \times A \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is alternate (i.e. zero on the diagonal). We shall prove that any alternate module is subsymplectic, i.e. if (A, ϕ) has a Lagrangian of cardinal n then there exists an abelian group B of order n such that (A, ϕ) is a submodule of the standard symplectic module $B \times B^*$.

Keywords : alternate modules, symplectic modules, Lagrangians, finite abelian groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 20K01.

Contents

1 Definitions and statement of the result **2**

2 Lagrangians in alternate modules **5**

3 Any alternate module is subsymplectic **10**
3.1 Preliminaries 11
3.2 Proof of the fundamental lemma 15
3.3 Proof of the theorem 20

4 Remarks **20**

*IRMA Institut de Recherche Mathématiques avancée, University of Strasbourg, 7 rue René Descartes, F-67084 Strasbourg , France, e-mail : guerin@math.unistra.fr

1 Definitions and statement of the result

Before stating the result, we give some standard definitions along with some properties regarding the alternate modules. The concept is defined by Wall in [Wal64] and by Tignol, Amitsur in [AT86]. Among the results, we will establish here, some might be directly read in those references and also in [TW15].

Let A be an abelian group. If we are given an application $\phi : A \times A \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, then we say that (A, ϕ) is a **bilinear module** if ϕ is bilinear when A is seen as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. By definition, the **underlying group** of the bilinear module (A, ϕ) is A and the associated **bilinear form** to (A, ϕ) is ϕ.

In the sequel, all bilinear modules are assumed to be finite.

Let (A, ϕ) a bilinear module, we say that (A, ϕ) is an **alternate module** if $\phi(a, a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$. If (A, ϕ) is an alternate module, then for all $a, b \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(a+b, a+b) && \text{since } (A,\phi) \text{ is alternate} \\ &= \phi(a,a) + \phi(a,b) + \phi(b,a) + \phi(b,b) && \text{by "bilinearity"} \\ &= \phi(a,b) + \phi(b,a) && \text{since } (A,\phi) \text{ is alternate} \end{aligned}$$

Whence for all $a, b \in A$, $\phi(a, b) = -\phi(b, a)$. We will sum up this by saying that if (A, ϕ) is an alternate module then, in particular ϕ is anti-symmetric.

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module and $a, b \in A$, we say that a is **orthogonal** to b if $\phi(a, b) = 0$. Since A is alternate, any element of A is orthogonal to itself and the relation of orthogonality is symmetric by anti-symmetry of the bilinear form ϕ.

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module and S a subset of A. We define $S^\perp$ the **orthogonal** of S as the subset of elements in A which are orthogonal to S. One can directly check that $S^\perp$ is a subgroup of A.

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module and B a subgroup of A then the bilinear module induced by A on B : $(B, \phi_{B\times B})$ is also an alternate module called the **induced submodule** on B by ϕ.

Let (A_1, ϕ_1) and (A_2, ϕ_2) be two alternate modules, we define the **orthogonal sum** of those modules by :

$$(A_1, \phi_1) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} (A_2, \phi_2) := (A, \phi)$$

With $A := A_1 \oplus A_2$ and $\phi((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) := \phi_1(a_1, b_1) + \phi_2(a_2, b_2)$. It is a straightforward verification that (A, ϕ) is an alternate module, verifying $A_1 \leq A_2^{\perp}$, $A_2 \leq A_1^{\perp}$ and the induced submodule by ϕ on A_i is (A_i, ϕ_i).

Conversly, if (A, ϕ) is an alternate module and B, C two subgroups of A such that $A = B \oplus C$ with $B \leq C^{\perp}$, then (A, ϕ) can be written as the orthogonal sum of the two induced modules on B and C by ϕ.

Let A be a finite module, we define A^*, the **dual** of A as the group $A^* := Mor(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. A non-trivial result (direct consequence of the classification of finite abelian groups) states that A is isomorphic to A^* as a group. Remark that the isomorphism between A and A^* is not canonical.

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module, we define the **dual application** associated to (A, ϕ) as :

$$\phi^* : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A^* \\ a & \longmapsto & b \mapsto \phi(a, b) \end{array} \right.$$

The **kernel** K_ϕ of (A, ϕ) is, by definition, the kernel $Ker(\phi^*)$ of the dual application ϕ^*. In other words, $K_\phi = A^{\perp}$ i.e. the set of elements in A which are orthogonal to any element of A.

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module, we say that (A, ϕ) is a **symplectic module** if its kernel K_ϕ is trivial (i.e. the application ϕ is non-degenerate).

To any alternate module (A, ϕ), we can associate a symplectic module (B, ϕ_B) setting $B := A/K_\phi$ and :

$$\phi_B(a_1 \bmod K_\phi, a_2 \bmod K_\phi) := \phi(a_1, a_2)$$

The fact that (B, ϕ_B) is well defined and is a symplectic module is clear. This symplectic module will always be denoted $(A/K_\phi, \overline{\phi})$ and called the **symplectic module** associated to (A, ϕ).

An important example of symplectic module is the following :

Example 1. *Let B be an abelian group. We define $A := B \times B^*$ and :*

$$\phi : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ ((a_1, \psi_1), (a_2, \psi_2)) & \longmapsto & \psi_2(a_1) - \psi_1(a_2) \end{array} \right.$$

Then (A, ϕ) is a symplectic module. In the sequel, such symplectic module will always be denoted $B \times B^*$ (the underlying bilinear form being the form ϕ as above).

Proof. Clearly (A, ϕ) is an alternate module. Let (a, ψ) be in K_ϕ then for all $b \in A$:

$$\phi((a, \psi), (b, 0)) = 0 \Rightarrow \psi(b) = 0$$

This implies that ψ is the trivial morphism, i.e. $\psi = 0$. Furthermore for all $\psi' \in Mor(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\phi((a, 0), (0, \psi')) = 0 \Rightarrow \psi'(a) = 0$$

Since A is isomorphic to $Mor(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ (although the isomorphism is not canonical) this implies that $a = 0$. Therefore K_ϕ is trivial and (A, ϕ) is a symplectic module. □

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module and S a subset of A. We say that S is **isotropic** if $S \subseteq S^\perp$. Furthermore, if $S = S^\perp$ then S is a subgroup of A and is called a **Lagrangian** of (A, ϕ). In general (see proposition 3) the cardinal of a Lagrangian of (A, ϕ) only depends on $|A|$ and $|K_\phi|$. We shall denote $n_{A,\phi} := \sqrt{|A||K_\phi|}$ the cardinal of any Lagrangian of (A, ϕ).

In the example 1, the subgroups B and B^* of A are both Lagrangians of the module (A, ϕ).

Let (A, ϕ) and (A', ϕ') be alternate modules, we say that (A, ϕ) and (A', ϕ') are **isometric** if there exists a group isomorphism $g : A \to A'$ verifying $\phi = g^*\phi'$. The isometric relation is clearly an equivalence relation.

Now, we come to the most important definition of this paper. Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module. We say that (A, ϕ) is **subsymplectic** if there exists an abelian group B of order $n_{A,\phi}$ such that (A, ϕ) is included in the standard symplectic module $B \times B^*$. The result we are going to prove here is :

Theorem. *Any alternate module is subsymplectic.*

Whereas the classification of symplectic modules is easily delt with, it seems hopeless to do the same thing for alternate modules in general. The statement that alternate modules are subsymplectic appears as the closest possible result to a classification of alternate modules.

In another paper, we will use this result to classify conjugacy classes of centralizers of irreducible subgroups in $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

In the second section, we shall characterize and study the Lagrangians in alternate modules. In the third section we will prove the theorem. In the last section, we make some remarks about this proof and alternate modules in general.

2 Lagrangians in alternate modules

Some results in this section have been proven in other papers, we shall only give the references. We begin with an elementary propoosition :

Proposition 1. *Let (A, ϕ) be a symplectic module and B a subgroup of A then :*

1. *$|B||B^\perp| = |A|$ and $(B^\perp)^\perp = B$.*
2. *If the induced submodule on B by (A, ϕ) is a symplectic module then the induced submodule on $B^\perp$ by (A, ϕ) is also a symplectic module, Furthermore*

$$A = B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^\perp$$

Proof. See proposition 2.2 of [AT86] or lemma 1 in [Wal64]. □

Whereas, alternate modules are very hard to classify, the following property implies that any symplectic module is isometric to one constructed as in the example 1. In particular, the set of symplectic modules is quite rigid.

Corollary 1. *Let (A, ϕ) be a symplectic module. There exists $B \leq A$ such that (A, ϕ) is isomorphic to $B \times B^*$.*

Proof. See lemma 7 in [Wal64] or theorem 4.1 in [AT86]. □

This construction can be seen as the analogous of a symplectic base for (A, ϕ) (i.e. a "base" of B as a finite abelian group and its "dual base" in B^*). A direct consequence of this corollary is that any two symplectic modules (A, ϕ) and (A', ϕ') are isometric if and only if A and A' are isomorphic as groups.

It is, somehow, surprising that such symplectic base exists for those finite modules (in a similar fashion than for bilinear forms on K-vector spaces). Whereas K-vector spaces endowed with an alternate form (not necessarily symplectic) are always the sum of the kernel of the form and a non-degenerate complementary, this is not the case for alternate modules in general. Indeed, one can consider the following counter-example :

Counter-example 1. *Let $A := \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4 \times \mathbb{Z}/8$. We denote $e_1 := (1, 0, 0)$, $e_2 := (0, 1, 0)$ and $e_3 := (0, 0, 1)$. Then, on the base e_1, e_2, e_3, we define the alternate bilinear form ϕ on A with its associated matrix :*

$$M_\phi := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & -1/4 \\ 1/2 & 1/4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the kernel K_ϕ of (A, ϕ) is not a direct factor of A, in particular, A is not the sum of a non-degenerate module and its kernel.

Proof. With a straightforward computation, one can check that $K_\phi = \langle e_1 + 2e_2 + 2e_3 \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4$. We remark that $2(e_1 + 2e_2 + 2e_3) = 4e_3 \in K_\phi$. Furthermore if $(x, y, z) \in A$ then $4(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 4z)$ so any element $a \in A$ of order 8 will verify that $4a = 4e_3 \in K_\phi$. In particular, K_ϕ is not a direct factor of A. □

This little example shows that the kernel of (A, ϕ) is not necessarily a direct factor of A. Furthermore, one can check that A cannot be written as the orthogonal sum of strictly smaller submodules. In some sense, it is irreducible, this suggests that if there is a classification of alternate modules (in some sense, we would like to have one in the sequel), it should be complicated. Another corollary of the proposition 1 :

Corollary 2. *Let (A, ϕ) be a symplectic module, then any Lagrangian L of A is of cardinal $\sqrt{|A|}$.*

Proof. By definition, $L = L^{\perp}$, applying the first point of proposition 1, we get $|L|^2 = |A|$. □

In their paper, [AT86], J.-P. Tignol and S.A. Amitsur are interested in Lagrangian of symplectic modules, they apply this to division algebra (cf. [AT85]). We need to understand, Lagrangians of alternate modules. We recall some results about Lagrangians in this case :

Proposition 2. *Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module. The Lagrangians of A are exactly the maximal elements for the inclusion within the set of isotropic subsets of A. In other words a subset L in A is a Lagrangian of A if and only if for any K' isotropic subset of A containing L, $K' = L$.*

Proof. Let L be an isotropic subset of A. Assume that L is a Lagrangian, then if K' is an isotropic subset of A containing L, then $L^{\perp} \supseteq K'$ since K' is orthogonal to K' whence to L. Since L is a Lagrangian, $L = L^{\perp}$ whence $K' \subseteq L$, since $K' \supseteq L$ by assumption $L = K'$ and L is thus maximal among the isotropic subsets of A.

Assume that L is not a Lagrangian. Since L is isotropic, $L \leq L^{\perp}$ and there exists $a \in L^{\perp}$ which does not belong to L. We define $K' := \langle L, a \rangle$, clearly $L \leq K'$ and $K' \neq L$, furthermore L being isotropic is orthogonal to a by assumption, whence K' is an isotropic subspace of A. Whence L is not maximal among the isotropic subsets of A. □

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module then the set of isotropic subspaces of A is not empty (it contains the trivial group) and finite (since A is finite). Therefore, there exists a maximal element for the inclusion. Whence any alternate module admits a Lagrangian. Furthermore, if K is any isotropic subset, there will always be a maximal isotropic subset containing it, therefore any isotropic subset is contained in a Lagrangian. In particular, for any $a \in A$, there exists a Lagrangian containing a (applying what we have just done to $K = \langle a \rangle$).

The next proposition is a generalization of corollary 2 :

Proposition 3. *Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module. For any Lagrangian L of A, the cardinal of L is $\sqrt{|A||K_{\phi}|}$.*

Proof. We define $(A/K_\phi, \overline{\phi})$ to be the associated symplectic module to (A, ϕ) and π be the projection of A onto A/K_ϕ. Clearly the application π leads to a bijective correspondance between isotropic subgroups of A containing K_ϕ and isotropic subgroups of A/K_ϕ.

Since maximal elements among isotropic subsets of A are isotropic subgroups of A containing K_ϕ, it follows that π induces a bijective correspondance between Lagrangians in A and Lagrangians in A/K_ϕ (by sending L to $\pi(L)$). In particular, any Lagrangian L of A is of the form $\pi^{-1}(\overline{L})$ where $\overline{L}$ is a Lagrangian in A/K_ϕ.

$$\begin{aligned} |L| &= |\pi^{-1}(\overline{L})| \\ &= |K_\phi||\overline{L}| \\ &= |K_\phi|\sqrt{\frac{|A|}{|K_\phi|}} \text{ by corollary 2} \\ &= \sqrt{|A||K_\phi|} \end{aligned}$$

□

We see that the cardinal of Lagrangians is constant in alternate modules. However, the isomorphism class of Lagrangians may vary (see also [AT86]).

Example 2. *Let (A, ϕ) be the alternate module defined in counter-example 1. Then $A = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4 \times \mathbb{Z}/8$, and if we denote $e_1 := (1, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ in A then the subgroups $L_1 := \langle e_3, e_1 + 2e_2 \rangle$ and $L_2 := \langle e_1, 2e_2, 2e_3 \rangle$ are Lagrangians in A and :*

$$\begin{aligned} &L_1 \text{ is isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/8 \\ &L_2 \text{ is isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, L_1 is not isomorphic to L_2.

Proof. From the counter-example 1, $|K_\phi| = 4$, hence the cardinal of a Lagrangian in (A, ϕ) is $\sqrt{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 8 \cdot 4} = 16$ using proposition 3.

We directly check that both L_1 and L_2 are isotropic.

Furthermore $e_1 + 2e_2$ is of order 2 and not in $\langle e_3 \rangle$, therefore L_1 is isomorphic to $\langle e_1 + 2e_2 \rangle \times \langle e_3 \rangle$. Whence L_1 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/8$. In particular, L_1 is isotropic and has the cardinal of a Lagrangian, by maximality of Lagrangians (proposition 2) it is Lagrangian.

Finally, L_2 is clearly isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$. Likewise, the L_2 is isotropic of cardinal 16, by maximality of Lagrangians (proposition 2) it is Lagrangian. □

In general, it is much more convenient to work with abelian p-groups than finite abelian groups. We remark that :

Proposition 4. *Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module such that (A, ϕ) is isometric to the orthogonal sum of (A_1, ϕ_1) and (A_2, ϕ_2) :*

$$(A, \phi) = (A_1, \phi_1) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} (A_2, \phi_2)$$

If both (A_1, ϕ_1) and (A_2, ϕ_2) are subsymplectic then (A, ϕ) is subsymplectic.

Proof. We begin by showing that $K_\phi = K_{\phi_1} \oplus K_{\phi_2}$. Clearly, K_ϕ contains K_{ϕ_1} and K_{ϕ_2} and both are in direct sum. Finalely, if $k = (l_1, l_2) \in K_\phi \leq A_1 \oplus A_2$ then for all $a_1 \in A_1$, we have :

$$0 = \phi(k, (a_1, 0)) = \phi_1(l_1, a_1) + \phi_2(l_2, 0) = \phi_1(l_1, a_1)$$

This is true for any $a_1 \in A_1$ so $l_1 \in K_{\phi_1}$. Likewise, $l_2 \in K_{\phi_2}$, whence $K_\phi = K_{\phi_1} \oplus K_{\phi_2}$.

It follows that $|K_\phi| = |K_{\phi_1}||K_{\phi_2}|$, since $|A| = |A_1||A_2|$, we have :

$$n_{A,\phi} = n_{A_1,\phi_1} n_{A_2,\phi_2} \tag{1}$$

By hypothesis, for $i = 1, 2$, there exists B_i, an abelian gorup of order n_{A_i,ϕ_i} such that $(A_i, \phi_i) \leq B_i \times B_i^*$. Whence :

$$\begin{aligned}(A, \phi) &= (A_1, \phi_1) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} (A_2, \phi_2) \\ &\leq (B_1 \times B_1^*) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} (B_2 \times B_2^*) \\ &\leq B \times B^* \text{ where } B := B_1 \times B_2\end{aligned}$$

We have included (A, ϕ) (as an alternate module) in $B \times B^*$, since $|B| = |B_1||B_2| = n_{A_1,\phi_1} n_{A_2,\phi_2} = n_{A,\phi}$ by equation 1, it follows, by definition, that (A, ϕ) is subsymplectic.

□

As a result :

Corollary 3. *Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module then, we denote, for p any prime dividing $|A|$, S_p the unique p-Sylow of A. If for all p dividing $|A|$, the induced submodule on S_p by ϕ is subsymplectic then (A, ϕ) is subsymplectic.*

Proof. It is a well known result that if $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are the r distinct primes dividing $|A|$ then, as a group, A is isomorphic to the product of its p-Sylows

$$A = S_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{p_r}$$

Furthermore, if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$ and $a_i \in S_{p_i}$, $a_j \in S_{p_j}$ then $\phi(a_i, a_j)$ is of order dividing some power of p_i and some power of p_j, it follows that $\phi(a_i, a_j)$ is of order 1, i.e. is trivial. In particular, for $i \neq j$, the induced modules S_{p_i} and S_{p_j} are orthogonal to each other. It follows that :

$$(A, \phi) = S_{p_1} \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \cdots \overset{\perp}{\oplus} S_{p_r}$$

The corollary is a direct consequence of this decomposition and proposition 4. □

With those classical properties, we will show the theorem.

3 Any alternate module is subsymplectic

Basically, the idea is to make an induction on the cardinal of the kernel. The following lemma is the major step of the proof :

Fundamental lemma. *Let p be a prime number and (A, ϕ) an alternate module which is not symplectic with A a p-group. Then there exists an alternate module $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ such that (A, ϕ) is a submodule of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$, $|A| < |\hat{A}|$ and $n_{A,\phi} = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$.*

In the sequel, we will say that $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ is an **extension of modules** of (A, ϕ) via the inclusion ι_A if $\iota_A : A \to \hat{A}$ is an inclusion of alternate modules, i.e. $\iota_{A*}\hat{\phi} = \phi$ and ι_A is an inclusion of modules. The extension will be **with constant Lagrangians** if $n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}} = n_{A,\phi}$.

The first subsection gathers some preliminary results. The second subection is the proof of the fundamental lemma. For subsections 3.1 and 3.2, p is a fixed prime number and any alternate module (A, ϕ) is assumed to have its underlying group A to be a p-group. In the third subsection, we prove the theorem.

3.1 Preliminaries

The next lemma is interesting in itself, it generalizes the second point of proposition 1.

Lemma 1. *Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module. If there exists a submodule B of (A, ϕ) such that B is a symplectic module then :*

$$(A, \phi) \text{ is isometric to } B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^{\perp}$$

Proof. Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module and B be a submodule of A which is symplectic. Then $B^{\perp}$ is also a submodule of (A, ϕ). Since B is symplectic, $B^{\perp} \cap B$ is trivial. It follows that $B \oplus B^{\perp}$ is a subgroup of A. By definition, B is orthogonal to $B^{\perp}$ it follows that :

$$B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^{\perp} \text{ is a submodule of } (A, \phi)$$

Let π be the canonical projection of A on A/K_{ϕ}. Since B is symplectic, $B \cap K_{\phi}$ is trivial and B is isomorphic to $\overline{B} := \pi(B)$. Using the first point of proposition 1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B}^{\perp} &= \frac{|A/K_{\phi}|}{|\overline{B}|} \\ &= \frac{|A|}{|K_{\phi}||B|} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\pi^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\perp}) = B^{\perp}$, it follows that $|B^{\perp}| = |K_{\phi}||\overline{B}^{\perp}|$. Finally, we have that :

$$\begin{aligned} |B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^{\perp}| &= |B||B^{\perp}| \\ &= |B||K_{\phi}||\overline{B}^{\perp}| \\ &= |B||K_{\phi}|\frac{|A|}{|K_{\phi}||B|} \\ &= |A| \end{aligned}$$

Since $B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^{\perp}$ is a submodule of (A, ϕ) and both modules have the same cardinal, we have that :

$$(A, \phi) \text{ is isometric to } B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^{\perp}$$

□

The next lemma gives a sufficient condition for an extension of modules to be with constant Lagrangians :

Lemma 2. *Let p be a prime number, $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ be an alternate module and A be a subgroup of $\hat{A}$ of index p. We denote (A, ϕ) the induced submodule on A by $\hat{\phi}$. If K_{ϕ} is not included in $K_{\hat{\phi}}$ then $n_{A,\phi} = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{e}$ be an element of $\hat{A}$ which is not in A. Since $[\hat{A} : A]$ is of cardinal p, any element in $\hat{a} \in \hat{A}$ can uniquely be written as :

$$\hat{a} = \lambda\hat{e} + a \text{ where } 0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1 \text{ and } a \in A$$

Define :

$$f : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} K_{\phi} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ k & \longmapsto & \hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, k) \end{array} \right.$$

Then for all $k \in K_{\phi}$:

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot f(k) &= p \cdot \hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, k) \\ &= \hat{\phi}(p\hat{e}, k) \\ &= \phi(p\hat{e}, k) \text{ since } p\hat{e} \text{ and } k \text{ are in } A \\ &= 0 \text{ since } k \in K_{\phi} \text{ and } p\hat{e} \in A \end{aligned}$$

As a result $Im(f)$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of exponent dividing p. Whence $Im(f)$ is either trivial or the unique cyclic subgroup of order p in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

If $Im(f)$ is trivial, then K_ϕ is orthogonal to $\hat{e}$, since K_ϕ is orthogonal to A and $\hat{A}$ is generated by $\hat{e}$ and A, it follows that K_ϕ is orthogonal to $\hat{A}$, whence $K_\phi \leq K_{\hat{\phi}}$. By assumption, this is a contradiction. It follows that $Im(f)$ is cyclic of cardinal p.

Let $x = \lambda\hat{e} + a \in K_{\hat{\phi}}$ where $0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$ and $a \in A$. Let $k_0 \in K_\phi$ such that $f(k_0)$ is of order p. Since $x \in K_{\hat{\phi}}$, we have $\hat{\phi}(x, k_0) = 0$. On the other hand :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}(x, k_0) &= \lambda\hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, k_0) + \hat{\phi}(a, k_0) \\ &= \lambda f(k_0) + \phi(a, k_0) \\ &= \lambda f(k_0) + 0 \text{ since } k_0 \in K_\phi\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lambda f(k_0) = 0$. Since $f(k_0)$ is of order p, we have that p divides λ and since $0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$, we end up with $\lambda = 0$ which implies that $x \in A$. As a result, we have shown that $K_{\hat{\phi}} \leq K_\phi$.

Finally :

$$\begin{aligned}n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}} &= \sqrt{|\hat{A}||K_{\hat{\phi}}|} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{p|A||K_\phi|}}{\sqrt{[K_\phi : K_{\hat{\phi}}]}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{p}{[K_\phi : K_{\hat{\phi}}]}} n_{A,\phi}\end{aligned}$$

Let L be a Lagrangian of A, then L is isotropic in $\hat{A}$ and by proposition 2, there exists a Lagrangian $\hat{L}$ of $\hat{A}$ containing L. Using Lagrange's theorem, we have that $|L|$ divides $|\hat{L}|$ and using proposition 3, $n_{A,\phi}$ divides $n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$. It follows that $\sqrt{\frac{p}{[K_\phi:K_{\hat{\phi}}]}}$ is a positive integer which cannot but be equal to one (because p is a prime number). In particular, we have that $n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}} = n_{A,\phi}$. $\square$

The last lemma in those preleminaries allows us to construct extension of modules in a fairly simple way.

Lemma 3. *Let p be a prime number, (A, ϕ) an alternate module where A is a p group. Assume that there exist $r+1$ non-trivial elements $e, e_1, \ldots, e_r$ in A such that :*

$$A = \langle e \rangle \times \langle e_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_r \rangle$$

Assume furthermore that for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ the order of $\phi(e, e_i)$ is strictly lesser than the order of e_i then there exists an alternate module $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ such that $\hat{A} = \langle \hat{e} \rangle \times \langle e_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_r \rangle$ where $\hat{e}$ is of order p times the order of e and the inclusion :

$$\iota_A : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \hat{A} \\ e & \longmapsto & p\hat{e} \\ e_i & \longmapsto & e_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \end{array} \right.$$

is an inclusion of submodules.

Proof. Let $\hat{A}$ be $\langle \hat{e} \rangle \times \langle e_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_r \rangle$ where $\hat{e}$ is of order p times the order of e. Clearly, the mapping :

$$\iota_A : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \hat{A} \\ e & \longmapsto & p\hat{e} \\ e_i & \longmapsto & e_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \end{array} \right.$$

defines an injective morphism of groups. In order to define the bilinear form $\hat{\phi}$, it suffices to define it on a generating set of $\hat{A} \times \hat{A}$. Define :

$$\hat{\phi}(e_i, e_j) := \phi(e_i, e_j) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq r$$

We also define $\hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, \hat{e}) := 0$. Finally, remark that the group $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is p-divisible (i.e. any element admits a p-th root). Then for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, define λ_i to be one element verifying $p\lambda_i = \phi(e, e_i)$.

Remark that the order of λ_i thus defined divides p times the order of $\phi(e, e_i)$. By assumption, this divides the order of e_i and also divides the order of $\hat{e}$ which is p times the order of e. It follows that λ_i divides both the order of $\hat{e}$ and e_i. Whence the following equations :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}(e_i, e_j) &:= \phi(e_i, e_j) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq r \\ \hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, \hat{e}) &:= 0 \\ \hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, e_i) &:= \lambda_i \\ \hat{\phi}(e_i, \hat{e}) &:= -\lambda_i\end{aligned}$$

allow us to define a group morphism on $\hat{A}$ which is clearly an alternate module. Let ψ be the induced module on A via ι_A by $\hat{\phi}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(e_i, e_j) = \hat{\phi}(e_i, e_j) = \phi(e_i, e_j) &\text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq r \\ \psi(e, e_j) = \hat{\phi}(p\hat{e}, e_j) = p\lambda_j = \phi(e, e_j) &\text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq r\end{aligned}$$

Whence $\psi = \phi$ on $A \times A$ (since they are alternate forms). In particular we have shown that (A, ϕ) is a submodule of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ via ι_A. □

We have the tools to prove the fundamental lemma.

3.2 Proof of the fundamental lemma

We recall first the statement of the fundamental lemma :

Fundamental lemma. *Let p be a prime number and (A, ϕ) an alternate module which is not symplectic with A a p-group. Then there exists an alternate module $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ such that (A, ϕ) is a submodule of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$, $|A| < |\hat{A}|$ and $n_{A,\phi} = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma doing a strong induction on the cardinal of the module. Let p^k be such that the lemma is true for any alternate module M with $|M| < p^k$. Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module which is not symplectic and A be of cardinal p^k. There are two different cases to consider :

Case 1 : K_ϕ is not included in $p \cdot A$

By the classification of abelian p-groups, decompose the group A as a product of cyclic subgroups :

$$A = \langle e_0 \rangle \times \langle e_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_r \rangle \tag{2}$$

Denote d_i the order of e_i, then we may assume that d_{i-1} divides d_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Let $k_0 \in K_\phi$ which is not in $p \cdot A$. By the decomposition 2, we may write

$$k_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i e_i \text{ where } \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since k_0 is not in $p \cdot A$ there exists at least one coefficient among the $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r$ which is not divisible by p. Denote i_0 to be one index such that α_{i_0} is not divisible by p.

Define the group $\hat{A} := \mathbb{Z}/p \times A$. Let γ be a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Then we define an alternate form $\hat{\phi}$ on $\hat{A}$ by :

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi}(e_i, e_j) &:= \phi(e_i, e_j) \text{ for } 0 \leq i, j \leq r \\
\hat{\phi}(\gamma, e_i) &:= 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } i \neq i_0 \\
\hat{\phi}(e_i, \gamma) &:= 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } i \neq i_0 \\
\hat{\phi}(\gamma, \gamma) &:= 0 \\
\hat{\phi}(\gamma, e_{i_0}) &:= \frac{1}{p} \\
\hat{\phi}(e_{i_0}, \gamma) &:= -\frac{1}{p}
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\hat{\phi}$ is an alternate form on $\hat{A}$, furthermore, the inclusion of A in $\hat{A}$ given by a is mapped to $(0, a)$ clearly leads to an inclusion of modules. Finally :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}(\gamma, k_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i \hat{\phi}(\gamma, e_i) \\ &= \alpha_{i_0} \hat{\phi}(\gamma, e_{i_0}) \text{ since } \gamma \text{ is orthogonal to } e_i \text{ where } i \neq i_0 \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{p} \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \text{ since } p \text{ does not divide } \alpha_{i_0}\end{aligned}$$

Hence we found an element in K_ϕ which is not in the kernel of $K_{\hat{\phi}}$. Applying lemma 2, we have $n_{A,\phi} = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$, hence $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ contains (A, ϕ), has the same cardinality of Lagrangians and is strictly greater than A. In this case, we have constructed the extension with constant Lagrangians (without using the induction hypothesis).

Case 2 : K_ϕ is included in $p \cdot A$

Write :

$$A = \langle e \rangle \times \langle e_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_r \rangle \tag{3}$$

Denote d the order of e, d_i the order of e_i, then we may assume that d divides d_1 and d_i divides d_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.

Assume that there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that the order of $\phi(e, e_i)$ is equal to d_i (the order of e_i), since the order of $\phi(e, e_i)$ divides d, the order of e (by bilinearity), it follows that d_i divides d. Since we also have d divides d_i by definition, we have two elements e and e_i in A whose order is equal to d and such that $\phi(e, e_i)$ is of order d. As a result, the submodule B generated by e and e_i is isometric to $\mathbb{Z}/d \times (\mathbb{Z}/d)^*$. In particular B is a submodule of (A, ϕ) which is symplectic, by lemma 1, it follows that :

$$(A, \phi) = B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^\perp$$

Let $(B^\perp, \psi)$ be the induced submodule on $B^\perp$ by ϕ. By induction hypothesis, there exists a module $(C, \hat{\psi})$ such that $n_{B^\perp,\psi} = n_{C,\hat{\psi}}$ with $|B^\perp| < |C|$ and $(B^\perp, \psi)$ is a submodule of $(C, \hat{\psi})$. Denote :

$$(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi}) := B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} (C, \hat{\psi})$$

Clearly $A = B \overset{\perp}{\oplus} B^\perp$ is a submodule of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ and $|A| < |\hat{A}|$. Remark that $K_\phi = K_\psi$ and $K_{\hat{\phi}} = K_{\hat{\psi}}$ since B is symplectic. Whence :

$$\begin{aligned} n_{A,\phi} &= \sqrt{|A||K_\phi|} \\ &= \sqrt{|B|}\sqrt{|B^\perp||K_\psi|} \\ &= \sqrt{|B|} n_{B^\perp,\psi} \\ &= \sqrt{|B|} n_{C,\hat{\psi}} \text{ by construction of } (C, \hat{\psi}) \\ &= \sqrt{|B|}\sqrt{|C||K_{\hat{\psi}}|} \\ &= \sqrt{|\hat{A}||K_{\hat{\phi}}|} \\ &= n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}} \end{aligned}$$

In this case we can also construct an extension $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ of (A, ϕ) with constant Lagrangians.

Assume now that for $1 \leq i \leq r$, the order of $\phi(e, e_i)$ strictly divides the order of d_i. Then we are in the condition of applications of lemma 3. Define $\hat{A} = \langle \hat{e} \rangle \times \langle e_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_r \rangle$ where $\hat{e}$ is of order p times the order of e and the inclusion :

$$\iota_A : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \hat{A} \\ e & \longmapsto & p\hat{e} \\ e_i & \longmapsto & e_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \end{array} \right.$$

then we have defined on $\hat{A}$ a bilinear form $\hat{\phi}$ such that $\iota_{A*}\hat{\phi} = \phi$.

We recall that we are in the second case where $K_\phi \leq p \cdot A$. Denote π the canonical projection of A onto A/K_ϕ. Since $K_\phi \leq p \cdot A$, it follows that $\pi^{-1}(p \cdot (A/K_\phi)) = p \cdot A$. In particular (since e is clearly not an element of $p \cdot A$) it follows that $\pi(e)$ is not in $p \cdot (A/K_\phi)$.

We know that A/K_ϕ is isomorphic to $B \times B^*$ by corollary 1. Denote $g_1, \ldots, g_s$ a "base" of B and $g_1^*, \ldots, g_s^*$ the corresponding "dual base" of B^* and write :

$$\pi(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_i g_i + \gamma_i^* g_i^*$$

Since $\pi(e)$ is not in $p \cdot (A/K_\phi)$, it follows that at least one of the γ_i or γ_i^* is not divisible by p. Up to exchanging g_i and g_i^*, we may assume that $\gamma_{i_0}^*$ is not divisible by p.

Let p^k (with $k \geq 1$) be the order of g_{i_0} and $a_0 \in A$ such that $\pi(a_0) = g_{i_0}$. Since g_{i_0} is of order p^k, $p^k \cdot a_0 \in K_\phi$. Furthermore :

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(e, p^{k-1} \cdot a_0) &= \overline{\phi}(\pi(e), p^{k-1} \cdot \pi(a_0)) \text{ by definition of } (A/K_\phi, \overline{\phi}) \\
&= p^{k-1}\overline{\phi}(\pi(e), g_{i_0}) \\
&= p^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_i \overline{\phi}(g_i, g_{i_0}) + \gamma_i^* \overline{\phi}(g_i^*, g_{i_0}) \\
&= p^{k-1} \gamma_{i_0}^* \overline{\phi}(g_{i_0}^*, g_{i_0})
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that, by definition $\overline{\phi}(g_{i_0}^*, g_{i_0})$ is of order p^k, since $\gamma_{i_0}^*$ is not divisible by p :

$$\phi(e, p^{k-1} \cdot a_0) = p^{k-1} \gamma_{i_0}^* \overline{\phi}(g_{i_0}^*, g_{i_0}) \text{ is of order } p, \text{ whence not trivial.}$$

Remark now that, in $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi}(\hat{e}, p^k \cdot a_0) &= \hat{\phi}(p \cdot \hat{e}, p^{k-1} \cdot a_0) \text{ by } \mathbb{Z}\text{-bilinearity} \\
&= \phi(e, p^{k-1} \cdot a_0) \text{ since } p \cdot \hat{e} = \iota_A(e) \text{ and } \iota_{A*}\hat{\phi} = \phi \\
&\neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $p^k \cdot a_0$ is an element of K_ϕ which is not in $K_{\hat{\phi}}$. Applying lemma 2, we have that $n_{A,\phi} = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$. □

Now that the fundamental lemma is proven, we shall see that the theorem follows by an easy induction on the cardinal of the kernel of the alternate module.

3.3 Proof of the theorem

Recalling that the result we want to prove is the following theorem :

Theorem. *Any alternate module is subsymplectic.*

Proof. By corollary 3, it suffices to prove it for alternate modules (A, ϕ) where A is a p-group and p is a prime number.

Let us show the following by induction on k : Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module which is a p-group with $|K_\phi| = p^k$ then (A, ϕ) is subsymplectic.

If $k = 0$ then (A, ϕ) is symplectic. Therefore, by corollary 1, it is isometric to $B \times B^*$ where $|B| = \sqrt{|A|} = n_{A,\phi}$. It follows that (A, ϕ) is subsymplectic by definition.

If $k > 0$ then (A, ϕ) is an alternate module which is not symplectic (its kernel is not trivial) whence, by the fundamental lemma, there exists an alternate module $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ such that (A, ϕ) is a submodule of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$, $|A| < |\hat{A}|$ and $n_{A,\phi} = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$.

We have $n_{A,\phi}^2 = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}^2$ so $|A||K_\phi| = |\hat{A}||K_{\hat{\phi}}|$, since $|A| < |\hat{A}|$ we have that $|K_{\hat{\phi}}| < |K_\phi| = p^k$. It follows that we can apply the induction hypothesis to $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$ and there exists an abelian group B of order $n := n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}}$ such that :

$$(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi}) \leq B \times B^*$$

Since (A, ϕ) is a submodule of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\phi})$, we finally have included the module (A, ϕ) in $B \times B^*$ where $|B| = n = n_{\hat{A},\hat{\phi}} = n_{A,\phi}$. It follows that (A, ϕ) is subsymplectic by definition. □

4 Remarks

The proof is constructive. Indeed, it explicitely gives the construction (by induction) of a symplectic module $B \times B^*$ containing (A, ϕ) with $|B| = n_{A,\phi}$. Basically, in order to define an algorithm computing B, one needs an

algorithm that, given (A, ϕ) computes its kernel and a "diagonalization" of its associated symplectic module $(A/K_\phi, \overline{\phi})$.

Remark that, given (A, ϕ), an alternate module, there might exist $B_1 \neq B_2$, both of cardinal $n_{A,\phi}$ such that (A, ϕ) is included in $B_i \times B_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2$:

Counter-example 2. *Let A be the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/2\times\mathbb{Z}/4$ endowed with the trivial bilinear form. It follows that $n_{A,\phi} = |A|$. Let $B_1 := A$ and $B_2 := \mathbb{Z}/8$. Then we have two inclusions :*

$$\iota_{A,1} : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B_1 \times B_1^* \\ (u,v) & \longmapsto & ((u,v),(0,0)) \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and } \iota_{A,2} : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B_2 \times B_2^* \\ (u,v) & \longmapsto & (4u, 2v) \end{array} \right.$$

Those two inclusions are inclusions of submodules (when $B_i \times B_i^*$ is endowed with its natural structure of symplectic form, defined in example 1). Furthermore, it is clear that they are extensions with constant Lagrangians. However $B_1 \neq B_2$.

As we have stated in the introduction of this paper, the theorem will be used in another paper in order to give a classification of centralizer of irreducible subgroups of $PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$. An interesting thing to have would be a classification of alternate modules.

Let (A, ϕ) be an alternate module, we say that (A, ϕ) is **indecomposable** if, whenever (A, ϕ) is the orthogonal sum of (A_1, ϕ_1) and (A_2, ϕ_2) then (A_1, ϕ_1) or (A_2, ϕ_2) is $(\{0\}, 0)$.

Since any alternate module is clearly the sum of indecomposable ones by induction, if we want to classify alternate modules, we only need to characterize indecomposable ones. This leads to the following question :

Question. *Let (A, ϕ) be an indecomposable alternate module. Does it follow that $rk(A) \leq 3$? Does it follow that $rk(A/K_\phi) \leq 2$?*

We computed many examples and this conjecture seems to be verified. However we still do not have a proof for it.

Acknowledgements

All computations performed on examples leading to this result have been performed using the GAP system [GAP].

I would like to thank my thesis advisor Olivier Guichard, whose suggestions have been very helpful. I would also like to thank Gaël Collinet who encouraged me to fully investigate this topic and Jean-Pierre Tignol for pointing out some helpful references. Finally, I would like to thank my fellow Ph.D student, Mohamad Maassarani for carefully listening to my proofs and his helpful comments.

References

[AT85] S.A. Amitsur, J.-P. Tignol. Kummer subfields of Malcev-Neumann division algebras. *Israel Journal of mathematics*, Vol. 50, Nos 1-2, 1985.

[AT86] S.A. Amitsur, J.-P. Tignol. Symplectic modules. *Israel Journal of mathematics*, Vol. 54, No 3, 1986.

[GAP] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.3*; 2016, (http://www.gap-system.org).

[TW15] J.-P. Tignol, A. Wadsworth. Value Functions on Simple Algebras, and Associated Graded Rings. *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, 2015.

[Wal64] C.T.C. Wall. Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics. *Topology*, Vol. 2:281-298, 1964.